Part 1 is from the paper "Lines in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ ." Let chark = 0. Points in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  correspond to (projective equivalence classes) of nonzero vectors in  $k^4$ . That is, the point in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  with homogeneous coordinates [X : Y : Z : W] is the line  $[\mathbf{v}]$  spanned by the nonzero vector

$$\mathbf{v} := \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \\ W \end{bmatrix} \in k^4.$$

Similarly, planes in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  correspond to (projective equivalence classes) of covectors

$$\varphi := \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c & d \end{bmatrix} \in (k^4)^*,$$

where  $[\varphi] = [\![a:b:c:d]\!]$  is the hyperplane defined in homogeneous coordinates by  $\varphi(\mathbf{v}) = 0$ , that is,

$$aX + bY + cZ + dW = 0. (1)$$

That is, the point [X : Y : Z : W] lies on the plane [a : b : c : d] if and only if (1) is satisfied.

Thus points and planes in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  are defined in homogeneous coordinates by vectors in the vector space  $V := k^4$  and covectors in its dual vector space  $V^* = (k^4)^*$ . Moreover, the orthogonal complement  $\mathbf{v}^{\perp}$  of the line  $k\mathbf{v} \subseteq k^4$  is the hyperplane in  $k^4$  defined by the covector  $\mathbf{v}^{\dagger}$ , which is the conjugate transpose of  $\mathbf{v}$ .

How can you describe *lines* in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  in a similar way by homogeneous coordinates?

#### **Exterior Outer Products**

Recall that  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$  denotes the set of  $n \times n$  skew-symmetric matrices. That is,  $X \in \operatorname{Mat}_n$  such that  $X + X^{\dagger} = 0$ . The *exterior outer product* is the alternating bilinear map:

$$k^n \times k^n \to \mathfrak{so}(n)$$
$$(u, v) \mapsto u \wedge v := v^{\dagger}u - u^{\dagger}v.$$

We shall verify the following:

- (1)  $(u \wedge v)(w) = (u \cdot w)v (v \cdot w)u$ .
- (2) If n = 3, then  $(u \wedge v)(w) = (u \times v) \times w$ .
- (3) The vectors u and v are linearly dependent if and only if  $u \wedge v = 0$ .
- (4) If u and v are linearly independent, then the projective equivalence class  $[u \wedge v] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{so}(n))$  depends only on the plane  $k\langle u, v \rangle$ .
- (5) The orthogonal complement of the plane  $k\langle u, v \rangle \subseteq V$  lies in the kernel ker $(u \wedge v)$ . In other words,

$$k\langle u, v \rangle^{\perp} \subseteq \ker(u \wedge v).$$

Proof.

(1) First let  $u = (u_1, u_2, ..., u_n)$  and let  $v = (v_1, v_2, ..., v_n)$ . Then

$$u \wedge v = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 v_1 & u_2 v_1 & \cdots & u_n v_1 \\ u_1 v_2 & u_2 v_2 & \cdots & u_n v_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_1 v_n & u_2 v_n & \cdots & u_n v_n \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} u_1 v_1 & u_1 v_2 & \cdots & u_1 v_n \\ u_2 v_1 & u_2 v_2 & \cdots & u_2 v_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_n v_1 & u_n v_2 & \cdots & u_n v_n \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_2 v_1 - u_1 v_2 & \cdots & u_n v_1 - u_1 v_n \\ u_1 v_2 - u_2 v_1 & 0 & \cdots & u_n v_2 - u_2 v_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ u_1 v_n - u_n v_1 & u_2 v_n - u_n v_2 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then let  $w = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n)$ . Then

$$(u \wedge v)(w) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (u_i v_1 - u_1 v_i) w_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{n} (u_i v_n - u_n v_i) w_i\right)$$
$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i w_i v_1, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i w_i v_n\right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i w_i u_1, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i w_i u_n\right)$$
$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i w_i\right) v - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i w_i\right) u = (u \cdot w) v - (v \cdot w) u.$$

(2) Now let n = 3. Then

$$(u \wedge v)(w) = (u \cdot w)v - (v \cdot w)u$$
$$= (u_1w_1 + u_2w_2 + u_3w_3)v - (v_1w_1 + v_2w_2 + v_3w_3)u = (a, b, c)$$

where

$$a = u_2 v_1 w_2 + u_3 v_1 w_3 - u_1 v_2 w_2 - u_1 v_3 w_3$$
  

$$b = u_1 v_2 w_1 + u_3 v_2 w_3 - u_2 v_1 w_1 - u_2 v_3 w_3$$
  

$$c = u_1 v_3 w_1 + u_2 v_3 w_2 - u_3 v_1 w_1 - u_3 v_2 w_2.$$

Now note that  $u \times v = (u_2v_3 - u_3v_2, u_3v_1 - u_1v_3, u_1v_2 - u_2v_1) =: (x, y, z)$ . Then  $(x, y, z) \times w = (yw_3 - zw_2, zw_1 - xw_3, xw_2 - yw_1)$ . Now note that

$$a = yw_3 - zw_2$$
$$b = zw_1 - xw_3$$
$$c = xw_2 - yw_1$$

and so  $(u \wedge v)(w) = (u \times v) \times w$ .

(3) ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose u and v are linearly dependent. Thus there are  $a, b \in k^{\times}$  such that au + bv = 0. Thus v = cu where c = -a/b. Then  $u \wedge v = (cu)^{\dagger}u - u^{\dagger}(cu) = c(u^{\dagger}u - u^{\dagger}u) = 0$ . ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Now suppose  $u \wedge v = 0$ . Then we know from (2) that  $(u \cdot w)v = (v \cdot w)u$  for all

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Now suppose  $u \wedge v = 0$ . Then we know from (2) that  $(u \cdot w)v = (v \cdot w)u$  for all  $w \in k^n$ , including  $w \in k \langle u, v \rangle$  where  $u \cdot w \neq 0$  or  $v \cdot w \neq 0$ . Thus u and v are linearly dependent.

(4) Let u and v be linearly independent. Then  $k\langle u, v \rangle$  is a plane in  $k^n$ . Let  $a, b, c, d \in k$  be such that  $au + bv, cu + dv \in k\langle u, v \rangle$  are linearly independent vectors. We will show that  $u \wedge v \sim (au + bv) \wedge (cu + dv) \in \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{so}(n))$ . Note that  $\dim \mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{so}(n)) = \frac{n^2 - n}{2} - 1$ . Let  $w \in k^n$ . Then

$$((au + bv) \cdot w)(cu + dv) - ((cu + dv) \cdot w)(au + bv)$$
  
=  $(a(u \cdot w) + b(v \cdot w))(cu + dv) - (c(u \cdot w) + d(v \cdot w))(au + bv)$   
=  $(ad - bc)(u \cdot w)v + (bc - ad)(v \cdot w)u = (ad - bc)((u \cdot w)v - (v \cdot w)u)$   
=  $(ad - bc)(u \wedge v)(w).$ 

Therefore  $(au+bv) \wedge (cu+dv) = (ad-bc)(u \wedge v)$  and so  $[u \wedge v] = [(au+bv) \wedge (cu+dv)]$ in  $\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{so}(n))$ .

Now let  $s, t \in k^n$  be linearly independent vectors and suppose there is a  $\lambda \in k^{\times}$  such that  $s \wedge t = \lambda(u \wedge v)$ . Then for all  $w \in k^n$ , we have

$$(s \cdot w)t - (t \cdot w)s = \lambda((u \cdot w)v - (v \cdot w)u) = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u = (\lambda u \cdot w)v - (\lambda v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle = (\lambda u \cdot w)v + (\lambda v \cdot w$$

Since this is true for all  $w \in k^n$ , we have  $s, t \in k \langle u, v \rangle$ . For example, since s and t are linearly independent, we may select a w such that  $s \cdot w = 0$  and  $t \cdot w \neq 0$  and vice versa. Therefore  $k \langle s, t \rangle = k \langle u, v \rangle$ . Thus the equivalence class of  $[u \wedge v]$  in  $\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{so}(n))$ depends solely on the plane  $k \langle u, v \rangle$ .

(5) Let  $z \in k \langle u, v \rangle^{\perp}$ . Thus  $z \cdot u = z \cdot v = 0$ . Thus  $(u \wedge v)(z) = (u \cdot z)v - (v \cdot z)u = 0$  and so  $z \in \ker(u \wedge v)$ . Thus  $k \langle u, v \rangle^{\perp} \subseteq \ker(u \wedge v)$ .

Because of (4), every 2-dimensional linear subspace L (plane through the origin) of  $k^n$  determines an element of the projective space  $\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{so}(n))$ ; the corresponding homogeneous coordinates are called the *Plücker coordinates* of the plane, or the corresponding projective line  $\mathbb{P}(L) \subseteq \mathbb{P}(k^n)$ .

# Plücker coordinates in $\mathbb{P}^3$

Let  $V = k^4$  and  $\Lambda = \mathfrak{so}(4)$  be the 6-dimensional vector space of  $4 \times 4$  skew-symmetric matrices. Then lines in  $\mathbb{P}^3 = \mathbb{P}(V)$  correspond to 2-dimensional linear subspaces of V, which in turn correspond to projective equivalence classes of certain nonzero elements  $u \wedge v \in \Lambda$ . Which elements of  $\Lambda$  correspond to lines in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ ? Since dim V = 4, the plane  $k \langle u, v \rangle \neq V$ , so there is a nonzero vector n normal to this plane. By the above, n lies in the kernel of the skew-symmetric matrix  $u \wedge v$ . Thus lines in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  determine nonzero singular (non-injective) matrices in  $\Lambda$ . Note that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b & c \\ -a & 0 & d & e \\ -b & -d & 0 & f \\ -c & -e & -f & 0 \end{pmatrix} = c^2 d^2 + b^2 e^2 - 2bcde + a^2 f^2 + 2acdf - 2abef = (af - be + cd)^2.$$

When n is even, skew-symmetric matrices in  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$  have the following property. In general the determinant of an  $n \times n$  is a degree n polynomial in its entries. When n is even, there is a degree n/2 polynomial  $\mathscr{P}$  on  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$  (called the *Pfaffian*) such that if  $M \in \mathfrak{so}(n)$ , then

$$\det M = \mathscr{P}(M)^2.$$

That is, in even dimensions, the determinant of a skew-symmetric matrix is a perfect square. For example, then n = 2, the general skew-symmetric matrix is

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ -y & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which has determinant  $y^2$ . Thus  $\mathscr{P}(M) = y$ .

When n = 4, the Pfaffian is a quadratic polynomial. We've seen above that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b & c \\ -a & 0 & d & e \\ -b & -d & 0 & f \\ -c & -e & -f & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (af - be + cd)^2$$

so the Pfaffian is

$$\mathscr{P}(M) = af - be + cd.$$

The vector space  $\Lambda$  has dimension 6, with coordinates

$$a, b, c, d, e, f$$
.

Thus projective equivalence classes of nonzero  $4 \times 4$  skew-symmetric matrices is the projective space

$$\mathbb{P}(\Lambda) \cong \mathbb{P}^5$$

with homogeneous coordinates

$$[a:b:c:d:e:f].$$

The nonzero singular matrices (namely, those of rank two), are those for which  $\mathscr{P}(M) = 0$ , which is just the homogeneous quadratic polynomial condition:

$$af - be + cd = 0.$$

This defines a *quadratic hypersurface*  $\mathcal{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{P}^5$ . Since it is defined by one equation in a 5-dimensional space, this quadratic has dimension 4.

Intuitively, we would expect that the space of lines in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  has dimension 4. A generic line  $\ell \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$  is not ideal and does not pass through (0:0:0:1). In that case, there is a point

$$p(\ell) \in \mathbb{A}^3 \setminus \{0\}$$

closest to the origin  $0 \in k^3$ . These points form a 3-dimensional space  $k^3 \setminus \{0\}$ .

Any point  $p \in k^3 \setminus \{0\}$  is the closest point  $p(\ell)$  for some  $\ell$ . Namely, look at the plane W(p) containing p and is normal to the vector from 0 to p. Any line  $\ell$  on W(p) passing through p satisfies  $p(\ell) = p$ . The set of all lines  $\ell \subseteq W(p)$  passing through p forms a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , which is one-dimensional. Thus lines in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  are parametrized by a 3 + 1 = 4 dimensional space.

This space is the quadric  $\mathcal{Q}$  defined above.

Just as quadric surfaces in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  can be parametrized as tori  $S^1 \times S^1$ , the 4-dimensional quadric hypersurface in  $\mathbb{P}^5$  can be parametrized by  $S^2 \times S^2$ . Namely, make the elementary linear substitution

$$X = (c+d)/2, \qquad A = (c-d)/2, Y = (b+e)/2, \qquad B = (b-e)/2, Z = (a+f)/2, \qquad C = (a-f)/2$$

so that

$$\mathcal{P}(M) = cd - be + af$$
  
=  $X^2 - A^2 + Y^2 - B^2 + Z^2 - C^2$ .

Thus Q is the quadric in  $\mathbb{P}^5$  consisting of points with homogeneous coordinates [X : Y : Z : A : B : C] satisfying

$$X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 = A^2 + B^2 + C^2.$$

By projective rescaling we may assume that  $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 = A^2 + B^2 + C^2 = 1$ . Each of these equations describes an  $S^2$ . Since the coordinates (A, B, C) and (X, Y, Z) are independent of one another, the quadricn Q looks like  $S^2 \times S^2$ .

# **Orthogonal Complement and Involution**

Since  $\mathscr{P}$  is a homogeneous quadratic function on the vector space  $\Lambda$ , it arises from a symmetric bilinear form  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\Lambda$  by the usual correspondences:

$$\mathscr{P}(X) = \mathcal{P}(X, X),$$
  
 $\mathcal{P}(X, Y) := \frac{1}{2}(\mathscr{P}(X + Y) - \mathscr{P}(X) - \mathscr{P}(Y))$ 

Explicitly,

$$\mathcal{P}(M,N) = \frac{1}{2}(cd' + c'd - be' - b'e + af' + a'f).$$

The usual inner product (dot product) on  $\mathfrak{so}(4)$  is given by

$$M \cdot N = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(MN)$$
$$aa' + bb' + cc' + dd' + ee' + ff'.$$

We can construct a linear isomorphism  $\mathcal{I} : \Lambda \to \Lambda$  defined by

$$\mathcal{I}(M) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f & -e & d \\ -f & 0 & c & -b \\ e & -c & 0 & a \\ -d & b & -a & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

that is,

$$\mathcal{I}(a, b, c, d, e, f) = (f, -e, d, c, -b, a).$$

So  $\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{I} = \mathrm{id}_{\Lambda}$  and  $\mathscr{P}(\mathcal{I}(M)) = \mathscr{P}(M)$  and  $M \cdot \mathcal{I}(M) = 2af - 2be + 2cd = \mathscr{P}(M) + \mathscr{P}(\mathcal{I}(M)).$ 

Geometrically, if  $M \in \mathcal{Q}$  corresponds to a 2-dimensional linear subspace  $L \subseteq V$ , then  $\mathcal{I}(M)$  corresponds to the orthogonal complement  $L^{\perp} \subseteq V$ . You can also think of the involution  $\mathcal{I}$  as mapping the points on the  $S^{2}$ 's:

$$\mathcal{I}(X:Y:Z:A:B:C) = (X:-Y:Z:-A:B:-C).$$

If  $p \in \mathbb{P}^3$  is a point corresponding to a 1-dimensional linear subspace  $L \subseteq V$ , then its dual plane  $p^* \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$  corresponds to the orthogonal complement  $L^{\perp}$ . The homogeneous coordinates of  $p^*$  form the *transpose* of the vector formed by the homogeneous coordinates of p. Then  $\mathcal{I}$ maps lines through p to the lines contained in the plane  $p^*$ . Note that  $(U \cap V)^{\perp} = U^{\perp} + V^{\perp}$ and  $(U + V)^{\perp} = U^{\perp} \cap V^{\perp}$ .

Here is an example. Take p to be the point (0:0:0:1). Then  $p^*$  is the plane w = 0. The line through 0 in the direction (a, b, c, 1) has Plücker coordinates

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c \\ -a & -b & -c & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Its dual is a line on the plane w = 0, which in the plane w = 0 has homogeneous coordinates [a:b:c] (that is, the line defined in homogeneous coordinates aX + bY + cZ = 0). In  $\mathbb{P}^3$  this line has Plücker coordinates

$$\mathcal{I}(M) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c & -b & 0 \\ -c & 0 & a & 0 \\ b & -a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In general, the Plücker coordinates of the line that connects  $u,v\in \mathbb{P}^3$  are

$$u \wedge v = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_2v_1 - u_1v_2 & u_3v_1 - u_1v_3 & u_4v_1 - u_1v_4 \\ u_1v_2 - u_2v_1 & 0 & u_3v_2 - u_2v_3 & u_4v_2 - u_2v_4 \\ u_1v_3 - u_3v_1 & u_2v_3 - u_3v_2 & 0 & u_4v_3 - u_3v_4 \\ u_1v_4 - u_4v_1 & u_2v_4 - u_4v_2 & u_3v_4 - u_4v_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Jake Kettinger

and the dual of this line is

$$\mathcal{I}(u \wedge v) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_4 v_3 - u_3 v_4 & u_2 v_4 - u_4 v_2 & u_3 v_2 - u_2 v_3 \\ u_3 v_4 - u_4 v_3 & 0 & u_4 v_1 - u_1 v_4 & u_1 v_3 - u_3 v_1 \\ u_4 v_2 - u_2 v_4 & u_1 v_4 - u_4 v_1 & 0 & u_2 v_1 - u_1 v_2 \\ u_2 v_3 - u_3 v_2 & u_3 v_1 - u_1 v_3 & u_1 v_2 - u_2 v_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Idea: The point  $w \in \mathbb{P}^3$  is on the dual of the line  $u \wedge v$  if  $(u \wedge v)(w) = 0$ . Recall  $k\langle u, v \rangle^{\perp} \subseteq \ker(u \wedge v)$ . Since n = 4 and  $u \wedge v$  has rank 2, we have equality. So  $k\langle u, v \rangle^{\perp}$  corresponds to  $\mathcal{I}(u \wedge v)$ .

Idea:  $(u \wedge v)\mathcal{I}(u \wedge v) = \mathcal{I}(u \wedge v)(u \wedge v) = 0$ . This can be seen by multiplying the matrices and the fact that  $\mathscr{P}(u \wedge v) = 0$ .

Then we have the following maps:

$$k^4 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}(u \wedge v)} k^4 \xrightarrow{u \wedge v} k^4$$

where im  $(\mathcal{I}(u \wedge v)) \subseteq \ker(u \wedge v) = k \langle u, v \rangle^{\perp}$ . We have equality because  $\mathcal{I}(u \wedge v)$  is rank 2. We can write  $k \langle u, v \rangle^{\perp} = k \langle s, t \rangle$  with  $s \cdot u = t \cdot u = s \cdot v = t \cdot v = 0$ . Thus im  $(\mathcal{I}(u \wedge v)) = k \langle s, t \rangle = \operatorname{im} (s \wedge t)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{I}(u \wedge v) \sim s \wedge t$  in  $\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{so}(4))$  (tenuous, my guess is  $\mathcal{I}(u \wedge v)$  must be the exterior product of *something* because its Pfaffian is zero?), so  $[\mathcal{I}(u \wedge v)] = [s \wedge t]$ .

The idea works with the example of u = (0, 0, 0, 1) and v = (a, b, c, 1) as above. The vectors  $(b, -a, 0, 0), (c, 0, -a, 0) \in \ker(u \wedge v)$  and

$$(b, -a, 0, 0) \wedge (c, 0, -a, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -ac & ba & 0 \\ ac & 0 & -a^2 & 0 \\ -ba & a^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c & -b & 0 \\ -c & 0 & a & 0 \\ b & -a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{I}(u \wedge v).$$

Idea: If w is on the line connecting u and v, then so is  $(u \wedge v)(w)$ . This is because  $(u \wedge v)(w) = (u \cdot w)v - (v \cdot w)u \in k \langle u, v \rangle \subseteq k^4$ , which corresponds to the line that connects u and v in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ .

## Relation to Orth

The alternating trilinear function **Orth** is a kind of four-dimensional cross product. It can be defined in terms of the involution  $\mathcal{I}$  and exterior product  $\wedge$ :

$$\mathsf{Orth}(u, v, w) = \mathcal{I}(u \wedge v)(w).$$

Three points  $[u], [v], [w] \in \mathbb{P}^3$  (where  $u, v, w \in V$  are nonzero vectors) are collinear if and only if  $\mathsf{Orth}(u, v, w) = 0$ . Otherwise they space a plane in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  represented by  $[\mathsf{Orth}(u, v, w)^{\dagger}]$ .

Dually, suppose  $\varphi, \psi, \xi \in V^*$  are nonzero covectors. The corresponding planes  $[\varphi], [\psi], [\xi] \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$  meet in a single point if and only if  $\varphi, \psi, \xi$  are linearly in dependent, in which case

$$[\varphi] \cap [\psi] \cap [\xi] = [\mathsf{Orth}(\varphi^\dagger, \psi^\dagger, \xi^\dagger)]$$

Note that the line that connects (a:b:c) and (d:e:f) in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is

$$(bf - ce)x + (cd - af)y + (ae - bd)z$$

This is the cross product  $(a, b, c) \times (d, e, f)$  in  $k^3$ .

## **Exterior Algebras**

# 4.1: Lines and 2-vectors

**Definition 12.** An alternating bilinear form an a k-vector space V is a map  $B: V \times V \to k$  such that

• 
$$B(v,w) = -B(w,v);$$

• 
$$B(\lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2, w) = \lambda_1 B(v_1, w) + \lambda_2 B(v_2, w).$$

This is the skew-symmetric version of the symmetric bilinear forms we used to define quadrics. Given a basis  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ , B is uniquely determined by the skew symmetric matrix  $B(v_i, v_j)$ . We can add alternating forms and multiply by scalars so they form a vector space, isomorphic to the space of the skew-symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices. This has dimension n(n-1)/2 spanned by the basis elements  $E^{ab}$  for a < b where  $E^{ab}_{ij} = 0$  if  $\{a, b\} \neq \{i, j\}$  and  $E^{ab}_{ab} = -E^{ab}_{ba} = 1$ .

**Definition 13.** The second exterior power  $\Lambda^2 V$  of a finite-dimensional vector space is the dual space of the vector space of alternating bilinear forms on V. Elements of  $\Lambda^2 V$  are called 2-vectors.

**Definition 14.** Given  $u, v \in V$ , the *exterior product*  $u \wedge v \in \Lambda^2 V$  is the linear map to k which, on an alternating bilinear form B, takes the value

$$(u \wedge v)(B) = B(u, v).$$

This conflicts a little bit with the earlier paper. The earlier paper defines  $u \wedge v$  as a function from V to V, while this paper defines  $u \wedge v$  as a function from the space of all alternating bilinear maps to k. Indeed, given a fixed w, the map  $B(u,v) = (u \cdot w)v - (v \cdot w)u$  is an alternating bilinear map. Does the other correspondence hold or this new definition a generalization? Perhaps the other correspondence does not hold, since the space of all alternating bilinear maps is an n(n-1)/2-dimensional vector space whereas V is an ndimensional vector space.

I do not think the correspondence moves the other way because we have

$$[B] \stackrel{\text{duality}}{\longleftrightarrow} [u \land v] \stackrel{(4)}{\longleftrightarrow} k\langle u, v \rangle \longleftrightarrow k\langle w \rangle = k\langle u, v \rangle^{\perp}$$

only when n = 3, so n(n-1)/2 = n.

From this definition follows some basic properties:

$$(u \wedge v)(B) = B(u, v) = -B(v, u) = -(v \wedge u)(B)$$

so that

$$v \wedge u = -u \wedge v$$

and in particular  $u \wedge u = 0$ . Also

$$(\lambda_1 u_1 + \lambda_2 u_2) \wedge v = \lambda_1 (u_1 \wedge v) + \lambda_2 (u_2 \wedge v).$$

If  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is a basis for V, then  $\{v_i \wedge v_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$  is a basis for  $\Lambda^2 V$ . This last property holds because  $v_i \wedge v_j(E^{ab}) = E^{ab}_{ij}$  and in fact shows that  $\{v_i \wedge v_j\}$  is the dual basis to the basis  $\{E^{ab}\}$ .

Another important property is  $u \wedge v = 0$  if and only if  $v = \lambda u$  for some scalar  $\lambda$ . This is proven above.

It is the elements of  $\Lambda^2 V$  of the form  $u \wedge v$  which will concern us, for suppose  $U \subseteq V$  is a 2-dimensional vector subspace and  $\{u, v\}$  is a basis of U. Then any other basis is of the form  $\{au + bv, cu + dv\}$  so

$$(au + bv) \land (cu + dv) = (ad - bc)(u \land v)$$

and since the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  is invertible  $ad - bc \neq 0$ . It follows that the 1-dimensional subspace of  $\Lambda^2 V$  spanned by  $u \wedge v$  for a basis of U is well-defined by U itself and is independent of choice of basis. To each line in  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  we can therefore associate a point in  $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda^2 V)$ .

Here  $\Lambda^2 V$  corresponds with the  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$  from earlier, and not  $\Lambda$  from earlier. I think I've figured it out that there is a correspondence between the space of all alternating bilinear functions and the space  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$  of skew symmetric matrices. The correspondence is each B is represented by a matrix  $M \in \mathfrak{so}(n)$  such that  $M_{ij} = B(v_i, v_j)$  for  $\{v_i\}_{1 \le i \le n}$  a basis of V. To be precise, let  $\mathscr{B}$  be the vector space of all bilinear transformations on V. Then there is an isomorphism  $\mathscr{B} \to \mathfrak{so}(n)$  given by  $B \mapsto (B(v_i, v_i))$ . What is funny is that  $B: V \times V \to k$ and for  $s \in \mathfrak{so}(n), s: V \to V$ . Then we have  $u \wedge v$  which takes an input of  $B \in \mathscr{B}$  and outputs an element of k, or receives an element of V and outputs an element of V. That is  $u \wedge v$  can be thought of as,  $u \wedge v : \mathscr{B} \to k$  or  $u \wedge v : V \to V$ . The isomorphism between  $\mathscr{B}$ and  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$  helps this make sense. Thus we have



s could also act  $V \times V \to k$  via  $(x, y) \mapsto x^T s y$ , which is bilinear. This should correspond with the appropriate B, but I won't check that.

The problem is, not every vector in  $\Lambda^2 V$  can be written as  $u \wedge v$  for vectors  $u, v \in V$ . In general it is a linear combination of such expressions. The task, in order to describe the space of lines, is to characterize such decomposable 2-vectors.

4.2 Higher exterior powers

**Definition 15.** An alternating multilinear form of degree p on a vector space V is a map  $M: V^p \to k$  such that

- For all  $\sigma \in S_p$ ,  $M(u_1, \ldots, u_p) = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) M\left(u_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, u_{\sigma(p)}\right);$
- $M(\lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2, u_2, \dots, u_p) = \lambda_1 M(v_1, u_2, \dots, u_p) + \lambda_2 M(v_2, u_2, \dots, u_p)$

**Example:** Let  $u_1, \ldots, u_n$  be column vectors. Then

$$M(u_1,\ldots,u_n) = \det(u_1u_2\cdots u_n)$$

is an alternating multilinear form of degree n.

The set of all alternating multilinear forms on V is a vector space, and M is unique minamined by the values

$$M(v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \ldots, v_{i_p})$$

for a basis  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ . But the alternating property allows us to change the order as long as we multiply by -1 for each transposition. This means that M is uniquely determined by the values of indices for

$$i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p$$

The number of these is the number of *p*-element subsets of [n], i.e.  $\binom{n}{p}$ , so this is the dimension of the space of such forms. In particular if p > n this space is zero. We define analogous constructions to those above for a pair of vectors:

**Definition 16.** The  $p^{\text{th}}$  exterior power  $\Lambda^p V$  of a finite dimensional vector space is the dual space of the vector space of alternating multilinear forms of degree p on V. The elements of  $\Lambda^p V$  are called p-vectors.

and

**Definition 17.** Given  $u_1, \ldots, u_p \in V$ , the *exterior product*  $u_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_p \in \Lambda^p V$  is the linear map to F which, on an alternating multilinear form M takes the value

$$(u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge u_p)(M) = M(u_1, \dots, u_p).$$

The exterior product  $u_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_p$  has three defining properties:

- it is linear in each variable  $u_i$  separately
- interchanging two variables changes the sign of the product
- if two variables are the same the exterior product vanishes.

We have a useful generalization of the earlier proposition:

**Proposition 16.** The exterior product  $u_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_p$  of p vectors  $u_i \in V$  vanishes if and only if the vectors are linearly dependent.

The exterior powers  $\Lambda^{p}V$  have natural properties with respect to linear transformations: given a linear transformation  $T: V \to W$ , and an alternating multilinear form M on W, we can define an induced one  $T^*M$  on V by

$$T^*M(v_1,\ldots,v_p) = M(Tv_1,\ldots,Tv_p)$$

and this defines a dual linear map

$$\Lambda^pT:\Lambda^pV\to\Lambda^pW$$

with the property that

$$\Lambda^p T(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_p) = Tv_1 \wedge \dots \wedge Tv_p.$$

One such map is very familiar: take p = n, so that  $\Lambda^n V$  is one-dimensional and spanned by  $v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_n$  for a basis  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ . A linear transformation from a 1-dimensional vector space to itself is just multiplication by a scalar, so  $\Lambda^n T$  is some scalar in the field. In fact it is the *determinant* of T. To see this, observe that

$$\Lambda^n T(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n) = Tv_1 \wedge \dots \wedge Tv_n$$

and the right hand side can be written using the matrix  $T_{ij}$  of T as

$$\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_n} T_{i_11} v_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge T_{i_n n} v_{i_n} = \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_n} T_{i_11} \cdots T_{i_n n} v_{1_i} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{i_n}.$$

Each of the terms vanishes if any two of  $i_1, \ldots, i_n$  are equal by the property of the exterior product, so we need only consider the case where  $(i_1, \ldots, i_n)$  is a permutation of  $(1, \ldots, n)$ .

We now have vector spaces  $\Lambda^p V$  of dimension  $\binom{n}{p}$  naturally associated to V. The space  $\Lambda^1 V$  is by definition the dual space of the space of linear functions on V, so  $\Lambda^1 V = V^{**} \cong V$  and by convention we set  $\Lambda^0 V = k$ . Given p vectors  $v_1, \ldots, v_p \in V$  we also have a corresponding vector  $v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_p \in \Lambda^p V$  and the notation suggests that there should be a product so that we can remove the brackets:

$$(u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge u_p) \wedge (v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_q) = u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge u_p \wedge v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_q.$$

And indeed there is. So suppose  $a \in \Lambda^p V, b \in \Lambda^q V$ , we want to define  $a \wedge b \in \Lambda^{p+q} V$ . Now for fixed vectors  $u_1, \ldots, u_p \in V$ ,

$$M(u_1,\ldots,u_p,v_1,\ldots,v_q)$$

is an alternating q-linear function of  $v_1, \ldots, v_q$ , so if

$$b = \sum_{1 \le j_1 < \dots < j_q \le p+q} \lambda_{j_1 \dots j_q} v_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{j_q}$$

then

$$\sum_{j_1 < \cdots < j_q} \lambda_{j_1 \cdots j_q} M(u_1, \dots, u_p, v_{j_1}, \dots, v_{j_q})$$

only depends on b and not on the particular way it is written in terms of a basis  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ . Similarly, if

$$a = \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_p \le p+q} \mu_{i_1 \cdots i_p} u_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge u_{i_p}$$

then

$$\sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_p} \mu_{i_1 \cdots i_p} M(u_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_p}, v_1, \dots, v_q)$$

depends on a. We can therefore unambiguously define  $a \wedge b$  by its value on an alternating p + q-form M as

$$(a \wedge b)(M) = \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_p; j_1 < \cdots < j_q} \mu_{i_1 \cdots i_p} \lambda_{j_1 \cdots j_q} M(u_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_p}, v_{j_1}, \dots, v_{j_q}).$$

The product just involves linearity and removing the brackets.

**Example 1.** Suppose  $a = v_1 + v_2$ ,  $b = v_1 \wedge v_3 - v_3 \wedge v_2$ , with  $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in V$ . Then

$$a \wedge b = (v_1 + v_2) \wedge (v_1 \wedge v_3 - v_3 \wedge v_2)$$
  
=  $v_1 \wedge v_1 \wedge v_3 - v_1 \wedge v_3 \wedge v_2 + v_2 \wedge v_1 \wedge v_3 - v_2 \wedge v_3 \wedge v_2$   
=  $-v_1 \wedge v_3 \wedge v_2 + v_2 \wedge v_1 \wedge v_3$   
=  $v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3 - v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3 = 0$ 

where we have used the basic rules that a repeated vector from V in an exterior product gives zero, and the transposition of two vectors changes the sign.

Note that

$$u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge u_p \wedge v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_q = (-1)^p v_1 \wedge u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge u_p \wedge v_2 \wedge \dots \wedge v_q$$

because we have to interchange  $v_1$  with each of the  $p u_i$ 's to bring it to the front, and then repeating

$$u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge u_p \wedge v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_q = (-1)^{pq} v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_q \wedge u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge u_p.$$

This extends by linearity to all  $a \in \Lambda^p V, b \in \Lambda^q V$ . We then have the basic properties of the exterior product:

- $a \wedge (b+c) = a \wedge b + a \wedge c$
- $(a \wedge b) \wedge c = a \wedge (b \wedge c)$
- $a \wedge b = (-1)^{pq} b \wedge a$  if  $a \in \Lambda^p V, b \in \Lambda^q V$ .

What we have done may seem rather formal, but it has many concrete applications. For example, if  $a = x \wedge y$ , then  $a \wedge a = x \wedge y \wedge x \wedge y = 0$  because  $x \in V = \Lambda^1 V$  is repeated. So it is much easier to determine that  $a = v_1 \wedge v_2 + v_3 \wedge v_4$  ( $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \in V$  linearly independent) is not decomposable:

$$(v_1 \wedge v_2 + v_3 \wedge v_4) \wedge (v_1 \wedge v_2 + v_3 \wedge v_4) = 2v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3 \wedge v_4 \neq 0.$$

## 4.3: Decomposable 2-vectors

A line in  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  defines a point in  $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda^2 V)$  defined by a *decomposable* 2-vector

$$a = x \wedge y.$$

We need to characterize algebraically this decomposability, and the following theorem does just that:

**Theorem 17.** Let  $a \in \Lambda^2 V$  be a non-zero element. Then a is decomposable if and only if  $a \wedge a = 0 \in \Lambda^4 V$ .

*Proof.*  $(\Rightarrow)$  If  $a = x \land y$  for two vectors x and y, then

$$a \wedge a = x \wedge y \wedge x \wedge y = 0$$

because of the repeated factor x (or y).

( $\Leftarrow$ ) We prove the converse by induction on the dimension of V. If dim V = 0, 1 then  $\Lambda^2 V = 0$ , so the first case is dim V = 2. In this case dim  $\Lambda^2 V = 1$  and  $v_1 \wedge v_2$  is a nonzero element if  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  is a basis for V, so any a is decomposable.

We consider the case dim V = 3 separately now. Given a non-zero  $a \in \Lambda^2 V$ , define  $A: V \to \Lambda^3 V$  by

$$A(v) = a \wedge v.$$

Since dim  $\Lambda^3 V = 1$ , dim ker  $A \ge 2$ , so let  $u_1, u_2$  be linearly independent vectors in the kernel and extend to a basis  $u_1, u_2, u_3$  of V. Then write

$$a = \lambda_1 u_2 \wedge u_3 + \lambda_2 u_3 \wedge u_1 + \lambda_3 u_1 \wedge u_2.$$

Now by definition  $0 = a \wedge u_1 = \lambda_1 u_2 \wedge u_3 \wedge u_1$  so  $\lambda_1 = 0$  and similarly  $0 = a \wedge u_2$  implies  $\lambda_2 = 0$ . It follows that  $a = \lambda_3 u_1 \wedge u_2$ , which is decomposable.

Now assume inductively that the theorem is true for dim  $V \leq n-1$  and consider the case dim V = n. Using a basis  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ , write

$$a = \sum_{1 \le i < j}^{n} a_{ij} v_i \wedge v_j$$
$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{in} v_i\right) \wedge v_n + \sum_{1 \le i < j}^{n-1} a_{ij} v_i \wedge v_j$$
$$= u \wedge v_n + a'$$

where  $u \in U = k \langle v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} \rangle$  and  $a' \in \Lambda^2 U$ .

Now

$$0 = a \wedge a = (u \wedge v_n + a') \wedge (u \wedge v_n + a') = 2u \wedge a' \wedge v_n + a' \wedge a'.$$

But  $v_n$  doesn't appear in the expansion of  $u \wedge a'$  or  $a' \wedge a'$ , so we separately obtain

$$u \wedge a' = 0, \qquad \qquad a' \wedge a' = 0.$$

By induction  $a' \wedge a' = 0$  implies  $a' = u_1 \wedge u_2$  and so the earlier equation reads

$$u \wedge u_1 \wedge u_2 = 0$$

which means that there is a linear relation

$$\lambda u + \mu_1 u_1 + \mu_2 u_2 = 0.$$

If  $\lambda = 0$ , then  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are linearly dependent so  $a' = u_1 \wedge u_2 = 0$ . This means that  $a = u \wedge v_n$  and is therefore decomposable. If  $\lambda \neq 0$ ,  $u = \lambda_1 u_1 + \lambda_2 u_2$ , so

$$a = \lambda_1 u_1 \wedge v_n + \lambda_2 u_2 \wedge v_n + u_1 \wedge u_2$$

and this is the 3-dimensional case which is always decomposable as shown above. We conclude that a in each case is decomposable.

### 4.4 The Klein quadric:

The first case where we can apply Theorem 17 is when dim V = 4, to describe the projective lines in the 3-dimensional space  $\mathbb{P}(V)$ . In this case dim  $\Lambda^4 V = 1$  with a basis vector  $v_0 \wedge v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3$  if V is given the basis  $v_0, \ldots, v_3$ .

For  $a \in \Lambda^2 V$  we write

$$a = \lambda_1 v_0 \wedge v_1 + \lambda_2 v_0 \wedge v_2 + \lambda_3 v_0 \wedge v_3 + \mu_1 v_2 \wedge v_3 + \mu_2 v_3 \wedge v_1 + \mu_3 v_1 \wedge v_2$$

and then  $a \wedge a = B(a, a)v_0 \wedge v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3$  where

$$B(a, a) = 2(\lambda_1 \mu_1 + \lambda_2 \mu_2 + \lambda_3 \mu_3).$$
(2)

This is a non-degenerate quadratic form, and so B(a, a) = 0 defines a nonsingular quadric  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^2 V)$ . Moreover, any other choice of basis rescales B by a non-zero constant and so Q is well-defined in projective space.

We see then that a line  $\ell \subseteq \mathbb{P}(V)$  defines a decomposable 2-vector  $a = x \wedge y$ , unique up to a scalar and since  $a \wedge a = 0$ , it defines a point  $L \in Q \subseteq \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^2 V)$ . Conversely, Theorem 17 tells us that every point in Q is represented by a decomposable 2-vector. Hence

**Proposition 18.** There is a one-to-one correspondence  $\ell \leftrightarrow L$  between lines  $\ell$  in a 3dimensional projective space  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  and points L in the 4-dimensional quadric  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^2 V)$ .

It was Felix Klein, building on the work of his supervisor Julius Plücker, who first described this in detail and Q is usually called the *Klein quadric*. The equation of the quadric in the form (2) shows that there are linear subspaces inside it of maximal dimension 2 whatever the field. The linear subspaces all relate to intersection properties of lines in  $\mathbb{P}(V)$ . For example:

**Proposition 19.** Two lines  $\ell_1, \ell_2 \subseteq \mathbb{P}(V)$  intersect if and only if the line joining the two corresponding points  $L_1, L_2 \in Q$  lies entirely in Q.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $U_1, U_2 \subseteq V$  be the two dimensional subspaces of V defined by  $\ell_1, \ell_2$ . Suppose the lines intersect in X, with representative vector  $u \in V$ . Then extend to bases  $\{u, u_1\}$  for  $U_1$  and  $\{u, u_2\}$  for  $U_2$ . The line in  $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda^2 V)$  joining  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  is then  $\mathbb{P}(W)$  where W is spanned by  $u \wedge u_1$  and  $u \wedge u_2$ .

Any 2-vector in W is thus of the form

 $\lambda_1 u \wedge u_1 + \lambda_2 u \wedge u_2 = u \wedge (\lambda_1 u_1 + \lambda_2 u_2)$ 

which is decomposable and so represents a point in Q.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Conversely, if the lines do not intersect,  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \{0\}$ , so  $V = U_1 \oplus U_2$ . In this case choose bases  $\{u_1, v_1\}$  of  $U_1$  and  $\{u_2, v_2\}$  of  $U_2$ . Then  $\{u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2\}$  is a basis of V and in particular  $u_1 \wedge v_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge v_2 \neq 0$ . A point on the line joining  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  is now represented by  $a = \lambda_1 u_1 \wedge v_1 + \lambda_2 u_2 \wedge v_2$  so that

$$a \wedge a = 2\lambda_1\lambda_2u_1 \wedge v_1 \wedge u_2 \wedge v_2$$

which vanishes only if  $\lambda_1$  or  $\lambda_2$  are zero. Thus the line only meets Q at the points  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ .

Now fix a point  $X \in \mathbb{P}(V)$  and look at the set of lines passing through this point:

**Proposition 20.** The set of lines  $\ell \subseteq \mathbb{P}(V)$  passing through a fixed point  $X \in \mathbb{P}(V)$  corresponds to the set of points  $L \in Q$  such lie in a fixed plane contained in Q.

*Proof.* Let x be a representative vector for X. The line  $\mathbb{P}(U)$  passes through X if and only if  $x \in U$ , so  $\mathbb{P}(U)$  is represented in the Klein quadric by a 2-vector of the form

 $x \wedge u$ .

Extend x to a basis  $\{x, v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  of V, then any decomposable 2-vector of the form  $x \wedge y$  can be written as

$$x \wedge (\mu x + \lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2 + \lambda_3 v_3) = \lambda_1 x \wedge v_1 + \lambda_2 x \wedge v_2 + \lambda_3 x \wedge v_3.$$

Thus any line passing through X is represented by a 2-vector in the 3-dimensional space of decomposables spanned by  $x \wedge v_1, x \wedge v_2, x \wedge v_3$ , which is a projective plane in Q. Conversely any point in this plane defines a line in  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  through X.

A plane in Q defined by a point  $X \in \mathbb{P}(V)$  like this is called an  $\alpha$ -plane. There are other planes in Q:

**Proposition 21.** Let  $\mathbb{P}(W) \subseteq \mathbb{P}(V)$  be a plane. The set of lines  $\ell \subseteq \mathbb{P}(W)$  corresponds to the set of points  $L \in Q$  which lie in a fixed plane contained in Q.

A plane of this type contained in Q is called a  $\beta$ -plane.

*Proof.* We just use duality here: if  $U \subseteq V$  is 2-dimensional, then its annihilator  $U^0 \subseteq V^*$  is 4-2=2-dimensional, so there is a one-to-one correspondence between lines in  $\mathbb{P}(V)$  and lines in  $\mathbb{P}(V^*)$ . A point in Q therefore defines a line in either the projective space or its dual. Now the dual of the set of lines passing through a point is the set of lines lying in a (hyper)-plane. So applying Proposition 20 to  $\mathbb{P}(V^*)$  gives the result.  $\Box$ 

In fact, there are no more planes:

**Proposition 22.** Any plane in the Klein quadric Q is either an  $\alpha$ -plane or a  $\beta$ -plane.

*Proof.* Take a plane in Q and three non-collinear points  $L_1, L_2, L_3$  on it. We get three lines  $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \subseteq \mathbb{P}(V)$ . Since the line joining  $L_1$  to  $L_2$  lies in the plane and hence in Q, it follows from Proposition 19 that each pair of  $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3$  intersect. There are two possibilities:

- the three lines form a pencil;
- the three lines meet at three distinct points.

In the first case the three lines pass through a single point and so  $L_1, L_2, L_3$  lie in an  $\alpha$ -plane. But this must be the original plane since the three representative vectors for  $L_1, L_2, L_3$  are linearly independent as the points are not collinear.

In the second case, if  $u_1, u_2, u_3$  are representative vectors for the three points of intersection of  $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3$ , then  $L_1, L_2, L_3$  are represented by  $u_2 \wedge u_3, u_3 \wedge u_1, u_1 \wedge u_2$ . A general point on the plane is then given by

$$\lambda_1 u_2 \wedge u_3 + \lambda_2 u_3 \wedge u_1 + \lambda_3 u_1 \wedge u_2$$

which is a general element of  $\Lambda^2 U$  where U is spanned by  $u_1, u_2, u_3$ . Thus  $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3$  all lie in the plane  $\mathbb{P}(U) \subseteq \mathbb{P}(V)$ .

The existence of these two families of linear subspaces of maximal dimension is characteristic of even-dimensional quadrics – it is the generalization of the two familites of lines on the "cooling tower" quadric surface. In the case of the Klein quadric, two different  $\alpha$ -planes intersect in a point, since there is a unique line joining two points. Similarly (and by duality) two  $\beta$  planes meet in a point. An  $\alpha$ -plane and a  $\beta$ -plane in general have empty intersection: if X is a point and  $\pi$  a plane with  $X \notin \pi$ , there is no line in  $\pi$  with passes through X. If  $X \in \pi$ , then the intersection is a line.

## **Plücker Embedding**

The Plücker embedding over the field k is the map  $\iota$  defined by

$$\iota:\mathfrak{Gr}(r,k^n)\to\mathbb{P}(\Lambda^rk^n)$$
$$k\langle v_1,\ldots,v_r\rangle\mapsto[v_1\wedge\cdots\wedge v_r],$$

where  $\mathfrak{Gr}(r, k^n)$  is the Grassmannian, i.e., the space of all *r*-dimensional subspaces of the *n*-dimensional vector space  $k^n$ .

This is an isomrophism from the Grassmannian to the image of  $\iota$ , which is a projective variety. This variety can be completely characterized as an intersection of quadrics, each coming from a relation on the Plücker (or Grassmann) coordinates that derives from linear algebra.

The embedding of the Grassmannian satisfies some very simple quadratic relations called the *Plücker relations*. These show that the Grassmannian embeds as an algebraic subvariety of  $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda^r V)$  and give another method of constructing the Grassmannian. To state the Plücker relations, let W be the r-dimensional subspace spanned by the basis of row vectors  $\{w_1, \ldots, w_r\}$ . Let U be the  $r \times n$  matrix of homogeneous coordinates whose rows are  $\{w_1, \ldots, w_r\}$  and let  $\{W_1, \ldots, W_n\}$  be the corresponding column vectors. For any ordered sequence  $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_r \leq n$  of positive integers, let  $W_{i_1,\ldots,i_r}$  be the determinant of the  $r \times r$  minor with the columns  $(W_{i_1}, \ldots, W_{i_r})$ . Then  $\{W_{i_1,\ldots,i_r}\}$  are the Plücker coordinates of the element U of the Grassmannian. They are the linear coordinates of the image  $\iota(W)$  of W under the Plücker map, relative to the standard basis in the exterior power  $\Lambda^r V$ .

For any two ordered sequences  $i_1 < \cdots < i_{r-1}$  and  $j_1 < \cdots < j_{r+1}$  of positive integers  $1 \leq i_{\ell}, j_m \leq n$ , the following homogeneous quadratics determine the image of  $\mathfrak{Gr}(r, k^n)$  under the Plücker map:

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{r+1} (-1)^{\ell} W_{i_1,\dots,i_{r-1},j_{\ell}} W_{j_1,\dots,j_{\ell},\dots,j_{r+1}} = 0.$$

For example, when dim V = 4 and r = 2, we have the Klein quadric determined by the equation  $W_{12}W_{34} - W_{13}W_{24} + W_{14}W_{23}$ . In general, however, many more equations are needed to carve the image of the Grassmannian under the Plücker embedding.

Note dim 
$$\mathfrak{Gr}(r,k^n) = r(n-r) < \binom{n}{r} - 1 = \dim \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^r k^n).$$